

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/973,186	10/09/2001		Gregory Jantsch	13543-003001	4489
26161	7590	07/13/2006		EXAM	INER
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON PC	KIM, AHSHIK		
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Will Will Will Will Will Will Will Will	<i>,</i> 210, 1111	o, oo		2876	
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/973,186	JANTSCH, GREGORY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Ahshik Kim	2876
- The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>4/18/06 (Amendment)</u> .	•
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		· ·
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
		•
Attachment(s)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/18/06.		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on April 18, 2006. Currently, claims 1-
- 5 38 remain in the examination.

20

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 10 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-21, 23, 24, 26-31, and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graef et al. (US 4,664,369, previously cited, hereinafter "Graef").

Re claims 1-4, 8-12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 30, 31, and 33-38, Graef teaches a thickness indicator apparatus used in Automated Teller Machine (ATM) (see abstract; col. 2, lines 27+) for detecting double bills when the bills are retrieved from the stack (col. 1, lines 34+; col. 3, lines 58+; col. 6, lines 4+). The thickness detector is comprised of two elongated fingers 50 (or free ends) attached to a wishbone 45 (col. 4, lines 16+; see figure 3). When bills move between the plate 42 and the elongated fingers 50, the elongated fingers are displaced/pushed by the thickness of the bill (col. 4, line 51 – col. 5, line 37).

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

Re claim 5, the bill moving path further comprised of a roller 12 and a counter rotating roller 36 (col. 3, lines 36+; col. 3, lines 60+). In case of the bill correctly under the wishbone 45 (figure 12), the wishbone – inductive element is uniformly separated/displaced.

Re claim 6, the thickness of the bill causes wishbone 45 to rotate about the pin 58 in counter clockwise direction (col. 5, lines 9+). Accordingly, the pin is considered a rotational axis.

Re claims 7, 13, 15, and 16, as bills pass between the plate 40 and elongated finger, the target 52 rotates toward the proximity sensor 66 (col. 4, lines 39+), and the sensor generates a voltage signal proportional to the distance between the sensor 66 and the target 52 in inductive manner.

Re claim 14, as shown in figure 1, the elongated finger 50 is connected to a spring-loaded locking means 64 (col. 4, lines 28-38).

Re claims 19 and 26-29, as shown in figure 3, the apparatus is comprised of a housing/frame 15 which houses a bill thickness detecting component (see figure 3; col. 3, lines 36+).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

20

Art Unit: 2876

10

15

20

5. Claims 22, 25, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graef et al. (US 4,664,369, previously cited).

Although Graef does not explicitly suggests the details of the housing as they are recited in the above-mentioned claims (snap-in bearings and grounding elements comprising braided wire and metal lugs), it is the Examiner's view that Graef shows a box-type of housing which is substantially the same housing Applicant claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on April 18, 2006 have been carefully reviewed and considered, but they are not persuasive.

"Maintain a uniform separation" is disclosed in figure 12 where the both prongs of the wishbone is displaced equally/uniformly by the currency. Granted that the separation between the sensor and the target varies according to a number of bills passing under the fingers of the wishbone, however, the Examiner was unable to find "uniform separation" Applicant claims in the specification, which Applicant claims distinguishable over the Graef patent. The instant application, on many occasions, refers "double-detect mechanism" (see US 2004/0099725 A1, Paragraphs 0042, 0043, and thereafter). One can imagine, without undue experimentation, that a number of bills going under the fingers 80 and 82 can vary. For example, in case where more than two sheets are passing under the fingers, it is hard to fathom that such case would not be detected as "double." Actual displacement of the fingers in such case would be more than "double" even if they are considered "double."

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

25

Applicant's arguments have been carefully reviewed and considered, but it is the Examiner's opinion that the Graef patent discloses and suggests the subject matter claimed in the instant application. Therefore, the Examiner has made this Office Action final.

Page 5

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahshik Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

Application/Control Number: 09/973,186

Art Unit: 2876

umber: 09/973,186 Page 6

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

10

Ahshik Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 July 9, 2006

15